Yew Woodland Monitoring 2011



Regenerating yew at Curraghchase, Co. Limerick.

John Cross & Deirdre Lynn July 2012





Yew Woodland Monitoring 2011

John Cross & Deirdre Lynn

National Parks & Wildlife Service
Department of Arts, Heritage & the Gaeltacht
7 Ely Place,
Dublin 2.
Email: john.cross@ahg.gov.ie
Telephone: 01-8883284

Citation:

Cross, J. & Lynn, D. (2012) Yew Woodland Monitoring 2011. Unpublished report National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Dublin, Ireland.

Cover photograph: Annex I Yew Woodland (91J0) at Curraghchase, Co. Limerick. © NPWS.

The NPWS Project Officer for this report was: John Cross; john.cross@ahg.gov.ie

CONTENTS

CONTENTS	1
Executive Summary	3
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	3
Introduction	4
Rationale for the survey	4
91J0 Yew woodland	4
Assessment and monitoring of Annex I habitats	5
Scope of the project	6
METHODOLOGY	7
Site selection	7
Monitoring plots	7
Structure and functions data	8
Species	8
Woodland structure	8
Grazing pressure	8
Free regeneration (i.e. regeneration where diameter at breast height (DBH) <7 cm)	9
Basal regeneration	9
Tree girths (target tree species only)	9
Dead wood	9
Structure and functions assessment	10
Future prospects data	10
Impacts	10
Future prospects assessment	11
Trends	11
Overall site assessment	11
Results	12
Site Results	12
Cornalack	12
Cahir Park	12
Curraghchase	13
Garryland	13
Reenadina	14
Overall condition assessment	17
DISCUSSION	18
Overall condition assessment	18
Structure and functions	18
RECOMMENDATIONS	20

Indicator species	20
Shrub layer and field layer cover	20
Tree size classes	20
Number of criterion failures allowed	20
Bibliography & Relevant Literature	21
Appendix I: Data sheets	2 3
	

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This document reports on the first year of a monitoring survey which assesses the structure and functions and future prospects of Annex I woodland type: 91J0 Yew woodland. 5 Yew woodlands were monitored in 2011. In each site, 2-4 monitoring plots measuring 20m x 20m were used to gather structure and functions assessment data including indicator species, cover of individual woodland layers, canopy height, presence of non-native species, stand structure and dead wood estimates. Future prospects were assessed by noting the pressures, threats and impacts, both positive and negative, occurring throughout the Annex I woodland area.

Sites were scored green (favourable), amber (unfavourable – inadequate) and red (unfavourable – bad) depending on the outcome of the two parts of the assessment. One site received a green assessment, one site an amber assessment and three sites a red assessment. The main pressures were overgrazing and non-native species both of which impacted the field layer and depressed regeneration. Grazing pressure is however declining and non-native species are being removed at two sites. Yew is also being planted as part of an EU Life programme at two sites. The monitoring criteria are discussed and recommendations for future refinement of the methodology are made.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful BEC Consultants for providing us with a template and elements of the content for this report, Neil Lockhart for identifying bryophytes and Paul Duffy for GIS assistance.

.......

INTRODUCTION

Rationale for the survey

Annex I habitats are habitats of European importance which are listed under Annex I of the EU Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC). Under Article 17 of the Habitats Directive, all EU Member States which are signatories of the Directive have a legal obligation to report on the conservation status of the Annex I habitats that occur within their boundaries. These reports are produced every six years. The next round of reporting, covering the period 2007-2012, is due in 2013.

The Yew Monitoring Survey was undertaken in 2011 with a view to feeding in to the reporting requirements for 2013. This survey assesses the structure and functions and future prospects of Yew woodland 91J0.

91J0 Yew woodland

Yew woodland is a highly restricted habitat type in Ireland which occurs at a handful of sites on outcropping limestone with skeletal soils in the southwestern part of the country. The canopy in these stands is typically dominated by *Taxus baccata* with *Fraxinus excelsior*, *Corylus avellana* and *Ilex aquifolium* often frequent. The ground is generally covered by an extensive bryophyte carpet dominated by a few robust pleurocarpous species, e.g. *Thamnobryum alopecurum*, *Neckera crispa*. Where present, the field layer consists of the grass *Brachypodium sylvaticum*, herbs (e.g. *Viola riviniana/reichenbachiana*, *Potentilla sterilis*) and ferns (e.g. *Phyllitis scolopendrium*). This woodland type has been classified as a facies of the Corylo-Fraxinetum association by Kelly (1981) and shares many of the same species.

There is an anomalous site in a Coillte forest at Rosturra, Co. Galway, where yew occurs as the dominant species, along with holly, in the shrub layer of a sessile oak stand. The herb layer is typical of sessile oak on more base-rich acidic soils, with *int. al., Blechnum spicant, Luzula sylvatica, Rubus fruticosus* and *Athyrium filix-femina*. Yew is fairly common in the vicinity but it may have been planted in this stand.

The definition for 91J0 * Taxus baccata woods of the British Isles (hereafter Yew Woods) presented in the Interpretation Manual (Anon. 2007) is very brief but is based largely on British stands of this type. Yew woodland in Ireland differs significantly from the British variants in three key respects (Perrin 2002). Firstly, in Britain this habitat type occurs predominantly on former chalk downland whilst in Ireland it occurs principally on areas of limestone pavement or rocky limestone knolls. Secondly, the typical plant species differ markedly between British and Irish stands. Buxus sempervirens and Mercurialis perennis are not found at any Irish stands; the former species is introduced in Ireland and the latter is of dubious native status. Sorbus aria sens. lat. is found

occasionally on the margins of some Irish stands but is not typical of the woodland interior. Thirdly, (an aspect not mentioned in the Interpretation Manual) Irish stands appear to develop from a *Corylus avellana*-dominated scrub stage whilst British stands are known to develop from scrub dominated by *Crataegus monogyna* and *Juniperus communis*.

Assessment and monitoring of Annex I habitats

Evans and Arvela (2011) present an evaluation matrix for assessing the conservation status of Annex I habitats. A modified version of this matrix is given in Table 1.

Table 1 Summary matrix of the parameters and conditions required to assess the conservation status of habitats (modified from Evans and Arvela (2011)).

Parameter	Green	Amber	Red
Range	Stable/increasing	>0% - <1% decline/year	≥1% decline in range /year over specified period
Area	Stable/increasing	>0% - <1% decline/year	≥1% decline in area /year over specified period
Structure & Functions	Habitat structure in good condition & functioning normally; typical species present	Any combination other than those described under green or red	>25% of habitat has structure, function or species composition in unfavourable condition
Future Prospects	Excellent, no significant impact from threats expected. Long-term viability assured	Between green and red	Bad, severe impact from threats expected; habitat expected to decline or disappear
Overall assessment of conservation status	All green	One or more amber but no red	One or more red

In some EU literature, the categories "favourable", "unfavourable – inadequate" and "unfavourable – bad" are used in place of "green", "amber" and "red". This survey assesses just two of the above parameters: structure and functions, and future prospects. Therefore, it is only possible at this time to give a preliminary assessment of the habitat status. Any reduction in area at these sites in future surveys will be assessed accordingly. The survey methodology follows the approach of the sand dune survey by Ryle *et al.* (2009), grasslands survey by Martin *et al.* (2007, 2008) and upland habitats survey by Perrin *et al.* (2009) in using monitoring stops to assess the status of structure and functions. Future prospects of sites are assessed on the basis of the occurrence and severity of impacts recorded in the Annex I habitats.

_

Scope of the project

The remit of the project was to monitor and assess structure and functions and future prospects within 5 Annex I woodland sites. The 2007 report erroneously refers to 10 sites (10km squares). In fact there are only 5 true yew woodlands (see Table 1). Of the other 5 sites mentioned in the 2007 report two (on the Tipperary/Laois border and west of Dromana) are errors, 2 are yew-rich stands of mixed high forest (Dromana, Kylenamelly) and one (Castletaylor) is a former clear-felled conifer stand on limestone pavement which is being restored as a yew woodland but does not yet have a continuous canopy. Coillte have also planted yew in a number of sites as part of their LIFE Project 'Restoring Priority Woodland Habitats in Ireland' which already contained a certain amount of yew but which do not merit classification as yew woodland (Table 2). In addition, there is the site at Rosturra referred to above.

Table 1. List of yew stands.

Site	County	Grid ref	Comments
Cornalack	Tipperary	M84200 00111	One stand on pavement/old quarry
Curraghchase	Limerick	R41073 50613	One stand on a rocky knoll
Cahir Park	Tipperary	S05241 22533	One small stand on a steep slope
Coole/Garryland	Galway	M41927 03921	Several scattered small stands
Killarney National Park	Kerry	V95629 85988	Two large stands (Reenadina) and several small stands (Monk's Wood, Dundag Point, Ross Island).
Dromana	Waterford	W09300 094700	Yew-rich stand of mixed woodland
Kylenamelly	Galway	R80400 97200	Yew-rich stand of native woodland
Castletaylor	Galway	M45400 15000	Yew-rich area of recently clear-felled conifers with additional planting
Rosturra	Galway	M75221 01285	Sessile oak stand with understorey of yew (possibly planted). This is an unusual site in that it occurs on acidic substrate.

Table 2. List of sites forming part of the Coillte LIFE Project 'Restoring Priority Woodland Habitats in Ireland'.

Site	County	Grid ref	Area (ha)	Comments
Curraghchase	Limerick	R41073 50613	5.8	Exotics removed and yew planted
Cahir Park	Tipperary	S05241 22533	9.0	Exotics removed and yew planted
Castletaylor	Galway	M45500 15000	12.0	Yew-rich area of recently clear-felled conifers with additional planting
Attyslaney	Co. Clare	R39860 99730	7.0	Exotics removed and yew planted
Clonbur	Galway	M10900 56570	12.0	Confer stand felled and replanted with yew
		Total	45.8	

METHODOLOGY

Site selection

Sites monitored in 2011 are listed in Table 3.

Table 3 – Site locations

Site	County	Grid ref	Comments
Cornalack	Tipperary	M84200 00111	One stand on pavement/old quarry
Curraghchase	Limerick	R41073 50613	One stand on a rocky knoll
Cahir Park	Tipperary	S05241 22533	One small stand on a steep slope
Coole/Garryland	Galway	M41927 03921	Several scattered small stands
Reenadina	Kerry	V95629 85988	Two large stands

Monitoring plots

Survey work was carried out between 18th July and 6th October 2011. On arrival at the site an initial assessment of the woodland was made as to whether it conformed to the appropriate Annex I woodland type. For sites which passed this initial assessment, detailed assessments were then carried out at two to four monitoring plots within each site, each plot measuring 20 m x 20 m. The presence of *Taxus baccata* was mandatory within each plot. Plots were selected throughout the site to encompass local variation but to avoid woodland edges and large tracks. A hand-held GPS (Garmin eTrex) was used to record the grid reference of each plot.

g .

Structure and functions data

The methodology employed for the monitoring and conservation assessment was modified from Perrin *et al.* (2008).

Data sheets are given in Appendix I. Within each plot, the following structure and functions data were recorded:

Species

- Presence of positive indicator species.
- Presence of negative indicator species (i.e. any non-native species).

Woodland structure

- Median canopy height in metres.
- Total canopy cover as percentage of plot.
- Total percentage of target species in canopy.
- Total cover of negative species as percentage of plot.
- Total native shrub layer cover as percentage of plot. Shrub layer was defined as shrub vegetation occurring 2 4 metres above ground.
- Total native dwarf shrub/field layer cover as percentage of plot.
- Median height in cm of native dwarf shrub/field layer.
- Total bryophyte layer cover as percentage of plot.

Cover scores were recorded as a percentage of the plot area to the nearest 5%, or to the nearest 1% if less than 5%.

Grazing pressure

 Grazing pressure (i.e. overgrazing) was recorded based on the presence of the following indicators: topiary effect on shrubs and young trees, browse line on mature trees, abundant dung, bark stripping. 5

Free regeneration (i.e. regeneration where diameter at breast height (DBH) <7 cm)

- Number of saplings¹ > 2 m tall of each negative <u>tree</u> species.
- Number of seedlings² < 2 m tall of each negative <u>tree</u> species.
- Occurrence of free regeneration of negative <u>shrub</u> and herbaceous invasive species regardless of height.
- Number of *Taxus* saplings >2 m tall of each target species.
- Number of saplings >2 m tall of each non-target native tree species.

When counting free regeneration, only separate regenerating units were counted, i.e. several shoots arising from a single root were regarded as a single regenerating unit.

Basal regeneration

Basal shoots >2 m arising from a larger trunk >7 cm were not counted unless the tree was completely dead at breast height, i.e. 1.3 m above the ground, in which case the whole unit was counted as a single regenerating unit.

Tree girths (target tree species only)

DBH of target trees was tallied within four size classes as follows:

size class 1 = 7-<20 cm; size class 2 = 20-<30 cm; size class 3 = 30-<40; size class 4 = \ge 40 cm.

Dead wood

Dead wood with a diameter of 10 cm or greater was recorded in four categories: old senescent trees (some dead limbs or other signs of damage present), standing dead, fallen dead (including large, fallen tree branches) and rotten stumps (cut/broken trunks of 1 m or less, not counting stumps with basal resprouts). Three size classes were recorded: 10-<15 cm, 15-<20 cm and ≥ 20 cm, although the focus for assessment was on the ≥ 20 cm class. Dead wood was recorded regardless of whether the tree was a target, non-target native or non-native species.

¹ The term "sapling" is used in this report to refer to regenerating trees having a DBH less than 7 cm and measuring 2 m or more in height.

² The term "seedling" is used in this report to refer to regenerating trees having a DBH less than 7 cm and measuring less than 2 m in height.

Structure and functions assessment

Assessments were made at a number of levels: individual-plot, multiple-plot and site levels. The criteria assessed for each woodland type are shown in Tables 4 and 5. Of the 11 criteria assessed at the individual-plot level, nine had to reach their target to achieve a pass. Of the four criteria assessed at the 4-plot level, three had to reach their target to achieve a pass. For the overall site level assessment, a green (favourable) assessment result could be achieved only if all plots passed at the individual-plot and 4-plot levels (i.e. five passes achieved). One failure out of the five was allowed for a site to receive an amber (unfavourable – inadequate) assessment. More than one failure resulted in a red (unfavourable – bad) assessment. For sites with less than 4 plots a subjective approach was used to assess structure & functions.

Table 4 Assessment criteria at the individual-plot level.

Assessment criterion	91J0 target for pass
Positive indicator species	At least 1 target species
	At least 6 positive species
Negative species cover	≤ 10% cover of plot
Negative species regeneration	Absent
Median canopy height	≥ 10 m
Total canopy cover	\geq 30% of plot
Proportion of target species in canopy	≥ 50% of canopy
Native shrub layer cover	\geq 20% of plot
Native dwarf shrub/field layer cover	\geq 20% of plot
Native dwarf shrub/field layer height	≥ 20 cm
Bryophyte cover	≥ 4%
Grazing pressure	All 4 indicators absent

Table 5 Assessment criteria at the 4-plot.

	91J0 target for pass
Size class distribution	Each size class <u>present</u>
Target species	1+ sapling >2 m in at least 1 plot
regeneration	
Other native tree	1+ sapling >2 m tall in 2 or more plots
regeneration	
Old trees & dead	At least 3 from any category (DBH
wood	>20 cm)

Future prospects data

Impacts

The future prospects assessment relates to the likely development and maintenance of the Annex I woodland habitat in favourable condition for the foreseeable future (Ellmauer 2010). The

"foreseeable future" is suggested by Ellmauer to be two reporting phases, i.e. 12 years. However, this time-frame is more applicable to habitats subject to more rapid, short-term changes and turnover of species, such as grassland or dune habitats, than to woodlands, for which a medium to long-term view is more appropriate, i.e. 20-50 years. In order to assess future prospects, pressures, threats and impacts throughout the site were recorded according to the list given by Ssymank (2011). The following details were recorded for each impact: the effect of the impact (positive, negative or neutral), the percentage of the site affected and the source of the impact (from inside or outside the site).

Future prospects assessment

The assessment of the woodland's future prospects was given according to the following guidelines:

- Green = excellent/good prospects; no significant impact from pressures/threats expected; long-term viability assured.
- Red = bad prospects; severe impact from pressures/threats expected; long-term viability not assured.
- Amber = between these two extremes.

Trends

Current and future trends were assigned using expert judgement and knowledge of the site.

Overall site assessment

If either structure and functions or future prospects were assessed as red, the overall assessment result for the Site was red. Both attributes had to be green for a site to receive a green assessment. Any other combination resulted in an amber assessment.

· ·

RESULTS

Site Results

See Tables 6 & 7 for plot and multiple plot level results respectively. Activities impacting each site are listed in Table 8.

Cornalack

Site description

Wooded limestone pavement on the north-east shore of Lough Derg partly within an abandoned quarry. This site is unusual in that abundant yew regeneration is occurring within an adjacent juniper formation and there is evidence to suggest that yew is slowly replacing juniper as the latter is shaded out by the former. In the small mature yew stand, which reaches 8-12 m in height, ash is constant within the canopy and holly is the principal understorey species with some rowan and occasional purging buckthorn and spindle. Ivy is the principal species of the herb layer with small amounts of other species listed above. Bryophyte cover ranges from 50% to 80%. There is no active management and no sign of grazing.

Assessment results

All plots passed. Low potential impact from non-natives.

Structure & Functions - Green

A healthy yew woodland with good prospects.

Future Prospects - Green

Cahir Park

Site description

A narrow stand of yew woodland, c.500m X 50m, along the steep western flank of a limestone knoll. The yew is about 15m tall and is accompanied a little ash. Holly, hazel and elder form a thin shrub layer. Under deep shade the herb layer is poorly developed with a thin covering of ivy but where there is lateral light from the margins stands of low bramble have developed. The bryophyte layer is poorly developed.

There are numerous exotic species present, particularly cherry laurel. The site is managed by Coillte, who have felled the woodland on the deeper soil on top of the knoll and planted yew as part of the Coillte LIFE Project 'Restoring Priority Woodland Habitats in Ireland'. If the transplants survive the yew woodland will be considerably expanded. However, there is a luxuriant growth of herbaceous vegetation which may smother the young plants.

Assessment results

One plot failed due to poorly developed field and moss layer cover and the presence of regenerating non-native trees and shrubs. The non-native species are being removed in the vicinity, which should reduce the seed source of these species.

Structure & Functions - Amber improving

The removal of non-native species and planting of Yew within the site is likely to improve the short term prospects at this site.

Future Prospects - Amber improving

Curraghchase

Site description

A mixed stand of yew and beech on the top and sides of a rocky knoll. The canopy, which averages 15-18 m, is dominated by yew with large beech emergents and occasional oak (*Quercus robur*). There is considerable regeneration of yew in light gaps, most of the young plants having developed from layering of low-hanging branches. Other woody species include ash, hazel, holly, cherry laurel and elm, forming a thin shrub layer. The field layer is poorly developed under deep shade and consists largely of ivy with a scattering of other species. In light gaps bramble is abundant. Like Cahir Park, this site is managed by Coillte as part of the LIFE Project. Beech trees have been thinned, laurel largely removed and cuttings of yew planted into adjacent ground.

Assessment results

Despite the removal of non-native species and the planting of yew all plots failed due to the poorly developed field and shrub layer and the presence of regenerating non-native species. However, on-going management should lead to further improvements.

Structure & Functions - Red improving

The removal of cherry laurel is a positive development. Areas have been replanted with Yew and this site is significant for the number of saplings developing from natural regeneration (mostly layering) throughout the site. This site is likely to continue to improve; there is however an issue with the dumping of household waste that should be addressed.

Future Prospects – Red improving

Garryland

Site description

Pockets of yew woodland occur on limestone pavement which outcrops within an extensive area of mixed deciduous woodland. The canopy averages c.13m and is dominated by yew with some ash, oak (*Q. robur*) and beech. Both the shrub layer and the herb layer are very poorly developed or almost absent. The bryophyte layer is well developed. There is a small amount of regeneration at this site and yew is widely scattered through the mixed deciduous ash-oak-beech woodland. The site is part of an extensive nature reserve.

Assessment results

Two plots failed due to the dense canopy and very poorly developed shrub and field layers. Therefore Structure & Functions were assessed as Amber as opposed to Red. No active management occurs at this site and it is unlikely that any change in conditions has occurred at this site for a considerable period of time.

Structure & Functions - Amber stable

There is as small amount of yew regeneration adjacent to these stands. However, the shrub and field layer are unlikely to develop further without a more open canopy.. Removal of selected beech trees would open up the canopy and encourage shrub and field layer development.

Future Prospects – Amber stable

Reenadina

Site description

This is the largest yew woodland in the country covering an area of c.25ha. It lies within the Killarney National Park and it has been intensively researched over the past 40 years. It occurs on limestone pavement and limestone outcrops.

This woodland is a complex of pure yew, mixed yew and hazel and mixed yew and ash. Exclosures were erected in 2001 around 2 large blocks of yew to control grazing by deer which have done considerable damage to the site over the past few decades. There are smaller exclosures within these which are over 40 years old. Like stands elsewhere, the shrub layer of hazel and holly is poorly developed and the herbaceous layer is thin and species poor. However, where grazing has been successfully excluded holly and bramble have developed a relatively good cover. Invasive exotic species, such as *Rhododendron ponticum*, *Clematis vitalba* and *Cotoneaster* spp., occur throughout the area but the first of these has been removed in the vicinity of the stands in recent years.

Assessment results

All plots failed due heavy grazing pressure, poorly developed shrub and field layer cover and lack of regeneration. Although grazing pressure has declined in the recent past and there is evidence of the shrub and field layer recovering at some of the plots, overgrazing is still a problem in the North Wood where the deer appear to be trapped inside the exclosure.

Structure & Functions – Red improving

It is likely that the reduced grazing pressure will improve the woodland structure. However, it is unlikely that yew will be able to regenerate under its own dense canopy.

Future Prospects - Red improving

9

Table 6 – Plot level assessment results

		Т на	. 1	-	-	. 1		-					1
Site	Plot	Non-target positive spp.	Total negative sp. cover	Negative sp. regeneration	Median canopy ht.	Total canopy cover	Percent cover <i>Taxus</i> in canopy	Native shrub layer cover	Native dwarf shrub/field layer cover	Native dwarf shrub/field layer height	Bryophyte cover	Grazing pressure	Plot level
Cornalack	1	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass
Cornalack	2	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Cornalack	3	Pass	Pass	Pass	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Cahir Park	1	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Cahir Park	2	Pass	Pass	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Fail	Pass	Pass	Fail	Pass	Fail
Curraghchase	1	Fail	Fail	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Fail	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Fail
Curraghchase	2	Pass	Fail	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Fail
Curraghchase	3	Pass	Fail	Fail	Pass	Pass	Fail	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Fail
Garryland	1	Pass	Pass	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Garryland	2	Pass	Pass	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Garryland	3	Pass	Fail	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Fail	Fail	Fail	Pass	Pass	Fail
Garryland	4	Pass	Pass	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Fail	Fail	Fail	Pass	Pass	Fail
Reenadina	1	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Fail	Fail	Pass	Pass	Fail	Fail
Reenadina	2	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Fail	Fail	Pass	Pass	Fail	Fail
Reenadina	3	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Fail	Fail	Pass	Pass	Fail	Fail
Reenadina	4	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Fail	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Fail

Table 7 – Multiple plot level assessment results

Site	Yew size class	Yew regeneration	Non-target species regeneration	Dead wood
Cornalack	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Cahir Park	Fail	Fail	Pass	Pass
Curraghchase	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass
Garryland	Pass	Fail	Pass	<mark>Fail</mark>
Reenadina	Pass	Fail	Fail	Pass

8

Table 8 – List of activities impacting sites surveyed

	Activity %				
Site	code	Activity description	Influence	affected	Source
Cornalack	I01	invasive non-native species	NEUTRAL	0.1	INSIDE
Cornalack	I01	invasive non-native species	NEUTRAL	0.1	INSIDE
Cornalack	I01	invasive non-native species	NEUTRAL	0.1	INSIDE
		disposal of household /			
Curraghchase	E03.01	recreational facility waste	NEGATIVE	0.1	INSIDE
Curraghchase	I01	invasive non-native species	NEGATIVE	80	INSIDE
Curraghchase	B02.03	removal of forest undergrowth	POSITIVE	50	INSIDE
Curraghchase	B02.06	thinning of tree layer	POSITIVE	20	INSIDE
Curraghchase	B02.01.01	forest replanting (native trees) disposal of household /	POSITIVE	30	INSIDE
Curraghchase	E03.01	recreational facility waste	NEGATIVE	0.1	INSIDE
Curraghchase	I01	invasive non-native species	NEGATIVE	80	INSIDE
Curraghchase	B02.03	removal of forest undergrowth	POSITIVE	50	INSIDE
Curraghchase	B02.06	thinning of tree layer	POSITIVE	20	INSIDE
Curraghchase	B02.01.01	forest replanting (native trees)	POSITIVE	30	INSIDE
		disposal of household /			
Curraghchase	E03.01	recreational facility waste	NEGATIVE	0.1	INSIDE
Curraghchase	I01	invasive non-native species	NEGATIVE	80	INSIDE
Curraghchase	B02.03	removal of forest undergrowth	POSITIVE	50	INSIDE
Curraghchase Curraghchase	B02.06 B02.01.01	thinning of tree layer forest replanting (native trees)	POSITIVE	20	INSIDE INSIDE
· ·		•	POSITIVE	30	
Cahir Park	B02.03	removal of forest undergrowth	POSITIVE	1	INSIDE
Cahir Park	B02.01.01	forest replanting (native trees)	POSITIVE	100	OUTSIDE
Cahir Park	I01	invasive non-native species	NEGATIVE		INSIDE
Cahir Park	I01	invasive non-native species	NEGATIVE		OUTSIDE
Cahir Park	B02.03	removal of forest undergrowth	POSITIVE	1	INSIDE
Cahir Park	B02.01.01	forest replanting (native trees)	POSITIVE	100	OUTSIDE
Cahir Park	I01	invasive non-native species	NEGATIVE		INSIDE
Cahir Park	I01	invasive non-native species	NEGATIVE		OUTSIDE
Reenadina	B06	grazing in forests/ woodland	NEGATIVE	80	INSIDE
Reenadina	I01	invasive non-native species	NEGATIVE	1	INSIDE
Reenadina	B02.03	removal of forest undergrowth	POSITIVE	1	INSIDE
Reenadina	B06	grazing in forests/ woodland	POSITIVE		OUTSIDE
Reenadina	B06	grazing in forests/ woodland	NEGATIVE	80	INSIDE
Reenadina	I01	invasive non-native species	NEGATIVE	1	INSIDE
Reenadina	B02.03	removal of forest undergrowth	POSITIVE	1	INSIDE
Reenadina	B06	grazing in forests/ woodland	POSITIVE		OUTSIDE
Reenadina	B06	grazing in forests/ woodland	NEGATIVE	80	INSIDE
Reenadina	I01	invasive non-native species	NEGATIVE	1	INSIDE
Reenadina	B02.03	removal of forest undergrowth	POSITIVE	1	INSIDE
Reenadina	B06	grazing in forests/ woodland	POSITIVE	00	OUTSIDE
Reenadina	B06	grazing in forests/ woodland	NEGATIVE	80	INSIDE
Reenadina	I01	invasive non-native species	NEGATIVE	1	INSIDE
Reenadina	B02.03	removal of forest undergrowth	POSITIVE	1	INSIDE
Reenadina	B06	grazing in forests/ woodland	POSITIVE		OUTSIDE

Overall condition assessment

Two sites were assessed as Amber, two as Red and one as Green (Table 9). In general there is an improving trend in the overall status of Yew woodland.

Table 9 Assessment overview of sites surveyed in 2011.

Site name	County	Site level S&F	Site level FP	Overall assessment
Cornalack	Tipperary	Green	Green	Green
Cahir Park	Tipperary	Amber↑	Amber↑	Amber↑
Curraghchase	Limerick	RED↑	Amber↑	RED↑
Garryland	Galway	Amber=	Amber=	Amber=
Reenadina	Kerry	RED↑	Amber↑	RED↑

g .

DISCUSSION

Overall condition assessment

From the results show, one site surveyed is in favourable conservation status, two are in unfavourable inadequate and two in unfavourable - bad conservation status. The failures are mainly due to the presence of non-native species and grazing pressure, both of which may be impacting woodland structure, and in particular, the regeneration potential of the woodland. In many cases future prospects is more favourable than structure & functions which indicates that current management effort is likely to improve the condition of some of these sites in the near future.

Structure and functions

Individual-plot level criteria

Shrub layer and target species regeneration

One of the problems noted for yew woodlands is insufficient regeneration of the shrub layer and target species. The lack of shrub layer and yew regeneration may be traceable back to overgrazing, past or present, or to infestations of invasive species, which have similar effects to overgrazing by suppressing native seedling regeneration. The removal of an invasive shrub frequently results in new cohorts of native regeneration quickly establishing in the same way as when overgrazing is controlled. Improved results for shrub layer cover may be expected in future monitoring cycles if grazing and invasive species (where present) are controlled and native seedling cohorts reach sapling size (2 m in height).

The lack of regeneration of yew under its own canopy is well documented, although the exact causes are not clear (Perrin 2003). It does, however, regenerate within other woodland communities, e.g. sessile oak woodland, and on open limestone pavement, e.g. eastern Burren. While yew may be a constituent of other woodland types, it might also be expected to develop pure stands on suitable substrates on the edge of, or some distance from, existing stands. This may be part of a cycle of yew woodland development, e.g. as a sere or an alternating community to another woodland type, e.g. ash woodland,

If sites continue to fail on these criteria after two or three monitoring cycles, then other unknown factors (e.g. edaphic) may be preventing establishment of the shrub layer or woodland may be developing elsewhere.

Negative species

While several non-native species are recorded from yew woodlands, beech and laurel are the principal problematic species. Removal of both species encourages the development of the shrub and herb layers provided the shade cast by yew itself is not too dense, which is often the case. However, although yew requires a relatively high level of light to regenerate it can survive under

beech and observations suggest that beech may actually act as a nurse, facilitating yew regeneration in adjacent stands.

Multiple -plot level criteria

Tree size classes

It was a requirement for each size class to be represented but most sites had a preponderance of larger (older?) trees. This suggests that the woodlands have reached a certain age structure. While a "reverse-J" structure is characteristic of some woodland types, with greater numbers of younger, smaller trees and smaller numbers of large, mature individuals, this is not always the case. A relatively uniform even-aged stand may develop as a result of, for example, a sudden change in landuse or natural disturbance. Thus, a healthy woodland need not, and frequently does not, have representation from every size class. The pattern within the yew woodlands therefore may not be a negative feature and this criterion may be too severe.

Dead wood

Dead wood measurement was in four classes: old senescent trees, standing dead, fallen dead and rotting stumps. Different type of invertebrates and fungi favour different types and sizes of dead wood habitat (Jonsson *et al.* 2005), with Kirby *et al.* (1998) noting that managed woodlands contained less fallen dead wood than unmanaged ones, and Sweeney *et al.* (2010) recognising the scarcity of large-diameter (>20 cm) dead wood in Irish woods in particular. Thus, while the cut-off diameter for assessing dead wood in the current survey was 20 cm, it may be more realistic for Irish woodlands to pitch this slightly lower, for example 15 cm diameter, if this threshold is found to be too severe. However, it should be noted that only one site failed on this criterion, so there are no indications from the current data that this criterion is unduly severe.

General comment

Yew occurs scattered through native woodland throughout the country but it is only on shallow soils over limestone pavement or outcrops that it forms pure stands. The natural distribution and range of yew woodlands, therefore, would appear to be restricted to these sites and further expansion will be limited by the distribution of suitable substrates. There are extensive areas of suitable terrain in the eastern Burren, although currently there is little indication of yew woodland development, largely because of grazing pressure.

Recent activity by Coillte as part of the LIFE Project 'Restoring Priority Woodland Habitats in Ireland' has led to improvements in some sites and planting of small stands at several other potentially suitable sites (Table 2). It is too early to draw conclusions on the success or otherwise of these activities but if the trees survive they could potentially lead to a significant increase in the area of yew woodland in the country.

8

RECOMMENDATIONS

Indicator species

Beech may facilitate yew regeneration in some sites by reducing competition from other more vigorous species. Future assessments should check whether it is valid to consider it as a negative species or not.

Shrub layer and field layer cover

The poorly developed shrub and herb layers, even in the absence of grazing, suggest that the heavy shade cast by the yew canopy may be the cause and a natural feature of yew woodlands. The targets for these criteria may need to be reviewed.

Tree size classes.

This criterion may be too severe and may have to be revisited and adjusted in future monitoring.

Regeneration.

Absence of regeneration within the yew woodlands may be due to heavy shade. Consistent failure of this criterion may need to be re-examined in the light of regeneration in adjacent areas.

Number of criterion failures allowed

Consideration should be given to increasing the number of criterion passes to the maximum, i.e. all 11 criteria required to pass at the individual-plot level, all four criteria to pass at the 4-plot level. There may be a need to broaden some of the thresholds to allow some latitude to prevent excessive failures, such as allowing one of the four grazing pressure indicators to be recorded. However, the current system could, in theory at least, allow a plot to pass even where there is extensive cover of a regenerating non-native species which is not yet affecting field layer cover. A fuller assessment of grazing, to include indicators of both undergrazing and overgrazing, would perhaps give a more holistic picture of the grazing situation in plots.

BIBLIOGRAPHY & RELEVANT LITERATURE

- Anon. (2007) Interpretation manual of European Union habitats EUR27. http://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/legislation/habitatsdirective/docs/2007_07_im.pdf.
- Ellmauer, T. (2010) Future prospects: Draft discussion paper for the expert group on reporting under the Nature Directives. http://circa.europa.eu/Public/irc/env/monnat/library?l=/ expert_reporting/ work-package_revision/sub-group_papers/future_prospects/ prospects_june2010pdf/_EN_1.0_&a=d
- Evans, D. and Arvela, M. (2011) Assessment and reporting under the Habitats Directive. European Topic Centre on Biological Diversity. Paris, France. http://circa.europa.eu/Public/irc/env/monnat/library?l=/habitats_reporting_2007-2012/reporting_guidelines&vm=detailed&sb=Title
- Jonsson, B.G., Kruys, N. and Ranius, T. (2005) Ecology of species living on dead wood lessons for dead wood management. *Silva Fennica* 39:289-303.
- Kelly, D.L. (1981) The native forest vegetation of Killarney, south-west Ireland: An ecological account. *Journal of Ecology*, 69, 437-472.
- Kirby, K.J., Reid, C.M., Thomas, R.C. and Goldsmith, F.B. (1998) Preliminary estimates of fallen dead wood and standing dead trees in managed and unmanaged forests in Britain. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **35**:148-155.
- Martin, J.R., Gabbett, M., Perrin, P.M and Delaney, A. (2007) Semi-natural grassland survey of Counties Roscommon and Offaly. Unpublished report for National Parks and Wildlife Service, Dublin.
- Martin, J.M., Perrin, P.M., Delaney, A.M., O'Neill, F.H. and McNutt, K.E. (2008) Irish semi-natural grasslands survey Annual Report No. 1: Counties Cork and Waterford. Unpublished report submitted to National Parks and Wildlife Service, Dublin.
- Perrin P. M. (2002) The ecology of yew (Taxus baccata) in Ireland, Ph.D. thesis, University of Dublin
- Perrin, P.M, Martin, J.R., Barron, S.J., O'Neill, F.H., McNutt, K.E. and Delaney, A. (2008) National Survey of Native Woodlands 2003-2008. Unpublished report submitted to National Parks and Wildlife Service, Dublin.
- Perrin, P.M., O'Hanrahan, B., Roche, J.R. and Barron, S.J. (2009) Scoping study and pilot survey for a national survey and conservation assessment of upland habitats and vegetation in Ireland. Report submitted to National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government, Dublin.
- Ryle, T., Murray, A., Connolly, C. and Swann, M. (2009) Coastal Monitoring Project 2004-2006. Unpublished Report for National Parks and Wildlife Service, Dublin.
- Ssymank, A. (2011) Reference list Threats, Pressures and Activities (final version). http://circa.europa.eu/Public/irc/env/monnat/library?l=/expert_reporting/work-package_revision/sub-group_papers/pressures_-threats&vm=detailed&sb=Title Accessed 1/11/2011.

Sweeney, O.F.McD., Martin, R.D., Irwin, S., Kelly, T.C., O'Halloran, J., Wilson, M.W. and McEvoy, P.M. (2010)

A lack of large-diameter logs and snags characterises dead wood patterns in Irish forests. *Forest Ecology and Management*. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2010.02.016.

_

APPENDIX I: DATA SHEETS

91J0 Yew Woodland: Monitoring sheet

20x20m plots

Site Name	Date	Photo numbers	
Grid ref	Plot Number	Slope	
Accuracy	Recorders	Aspect	

Positive indicator species	✓	Negative indicator species	✓
Trees and woody species		Non-native trees	
Taxus baccata		Fagus sylvatica	
Fraxinus excelsior		Other:	
Other woody			
Corylus avellana			
Ilex aquifolium			
Lonicera periclymenum			
Quercus robur			
Sorbus aucuparia		Non Native Shrubs	
		Cotoneaster spp.	
Herbs & ferns		Prunus laurocerasus	
Brachypodium sylvaticum		Rhododendron ponticum	
Phyllitis scolopendrium		Other:	
Potentilla sterilis			
Viola reich/riv			
Carex flacca			
Mosses			
Metzgeria furcata			
Isothecium myosuroides			
Thamnobryum alopecurum			
Fissidens dubius			
Neckera complanata			
Neckera crispa			

Woodland structure	Values	Grazing pressure	Y/N
Median canopy height (m)		Topiary effect	
Total canopy cover (%)		Browse line	
Total cover of Taxus (%)		Abundant dung	
Total cover of Fraxinus (%)		Bark stripping	
Total cover of negative species (%)			
Total native shrub layer 2-4m (%)			
Total native field layer (%)			
Median height of field layer (cm)			
Total bryophyte cover (%)			

Taxus tree species dbh	Result	Non-native	tree	Ht <2m	Ht >2m
		regeneration			
		(number)			
No. seedlings present <2m		List species			
No. >2m <7cm					
No. of stems 7-19.5 cm					
No. of stems 20-29.5 cm					
No. of stems >30-39.5 cm					
No. of stems >40 cm					
Native saplings >2m (No.)					
List species					
		Non-native s	shrub	Y/N	
		regeneration			

Dead wood dbh

Old/Senescent	Count	Fallen dead	Count
10-14.5 cm		10-14.5 cm	
15-19.5 cm		15-19.5 cm	
>20 cm		>20 cm	
Standing dead (>1m tall)		Rotten stump (<1m)	
10-14.5 cm		10-14.5 cm	
15-19.5 cm		15-19.5 cm	
>20 cm		>20 cm	