## **National Parks and Wildlife Service**

**Conservation Objectives Series** 

## Rosroe Bog SAC 000324



An Roinn Ealaíon, Oidhreachta, Gnóthaí Réigiúnacha, Tuaithe agus Gaeltachta

Department of Arts, Heritage, Regional, Rural and Gaeltacht Affairs



#### National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage, Regional, Rural and Gaeltacht Affairs,

7 Ely Place, Dublin 2, Ireland.

Web: www.npws.ie E-mail: nature.conservation@ahg.gov.ie

Citation:

NPWS (2017) Conservation Objectives: Rosroe Bog SAC 000324. Version 1. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage, Regional, Rural and Gaeltacht Affairs.

> Series Editor: Rebecca Jeffrey ISSN 2009-4086

#### Introduction

The overall aim of the Habitats Directive is to maintain or restore the favourable conservation status of habitats and species of community interest. These habitats and species are listed in the Habitats and Birds Directives and Special Areas of Conservation and Special Protection Areas are designated to afford protection to the most vulnerable of them. These two designations are collectively known as the Natura 2000 network.

European and national legislation places a collective obligation on Ireland and its citizens to maintain habitats and species in the Natura 2000 network at favourable conservation condition. The Government and its agencies are responsible for the implementation and enforcement of regulations that will ensure the ecological integrity of these sites.

A site-specific conservation objective aims to define favourable conservation condition for a particular habitat or species at that site.

The maintenance of habitats and species within Natura 2000 sites at favourable conservation condition will contribute to the overall maintenance of favourable conservation status of those habitats and species at a national level.

Favourable conservation status of a habitat is achieved when:

- its natural range, and area it covers within that range, are stable or increasing, and
- the specific structure and functions which are necessary for its long-term maintenance
- exist and are likely to continue to exist for the foreseeable future, and
- the conservation status of its typical species is favourable.

The favourable conservation status of a species is achieved when:

• population dynamics data on the species concerned indicate that it is maintaining itself on a long-term basis as a viable component of its natural habitats, and

• the natural range of the species is neither being reduced nor is likely to be reduced for the foreseeable future, and

• there is, and will probably continue to be, a sufficiently large habitat to maintain its populations on a long-term basis.

#### Notes/Guidelines:

1. The targets given in these conservation objectives are based on best available information at the time of writing. As more information becomes available, targets for attributes may change. These will be updated periodically, as necessary.

2. An appropriate assessment based on these conservation objectives will remain valid even if the targets are subsequently updated, providing they were the most recent objectives available when the assessment was carried out. It is essential that the date and version are included when objectives are cited.

3. Assessments cannot consider an attribute in isolation from the others listed for that habitat or species, or for other habitats and species listed for that site. A plan or project with an apparently small impact on one attribute may have a significant impact on another.

4. Please note that the maps included in this document do not necessarily show the entire extent of the habitats and species for which the site is listed. This should be borne in mind when appropriate assessments are being carried out.

5. When using these objectives, it is essential that the relevant backing/supporting documents are consulted, particularly where instructed in the targets or notes for a particular attribute.

### Qualifying Interests

| * indicates a priority habitat under the Habitats Directive |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| 000324  | Rosroe Bog SAC   |  |  |
| 7130  | Blanket bogs (* if active bog)                           |  |  |
| 7150  | 150 Depressions on peat substrates of the Rhynchosporion |  |  |

#### Supporting documents, relevant reports & publications

Supporting documents, NPWS reports and publications are available for download from: www.npws.ie/Publications

#### **NPWS Documents**

| Year :   | 1987   |  |  |
|----------|--|--|--|
| Title :  | Survey to locate lowland blanket bogs of scientific interest in Connemara, Co. Galway                                  |  |  |
| Author : | Douglas, C.; Grogan, H.  |  |  |
| Series : | Unpublished report to the Wildlife Service   |  |  |
| Year :   | 2012   |  |  |
| Title :  | Ireland Red List No. 8: Bryophytes   |  |  |
| Author : | Lockhart, N.; Hodgetts, N.; Holyoak, D.  |  |  |
| Series : | Ireland Red List series, NPWS  |  |  |
| Year :   | 2014   |  |  |
| Title :  | Guidelines for a national survey and conservation assessment of upland vegetation and habitats in Ireland, Version 2.0 |  |  |
| Author : | Perrin, P.M.; Barron, S.J.; Roche, J.R.; O'Hanrahan, B.  |  |  |
| Series : | Irish Wildlife Manual No. 79   |  |  |
| Year :   | 2016   |  |  |
| Title :  | Ireland Red List No. 10: Vascular Plants   |  |  |
| Author : | Wyse Jackson, M.; FitzPatrick, Ú.; Cole, E.; Jebb, M.; McFerran, D.; Sheehy Skeffington, M.; Wright, M.                |  |  |
| Series : | Ireland Red Lists series, NPWS   |  |  |
| Year :   | 2017   |  |  |
| Title :  | Rosroe Bog SAC (site code: 324) Conservation objectives supporting document- blanket bogs and associated habitats V1   |  |  |
| Author : | NPWS   |  |  |
| Series : | Conservation objectives supporting document  |  |  |

#### **Other References**

#### Conservation Objectives for : Rosroe Bog SAC [000324]

#### 7130 Blanket bogs (\* if active bog)

## To restore the favourable conservation condition of Blanket bogs (\* if active bog) in Rosroe Bog SAC, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

| Attribute   | Measure  | Target  | Notes   |
|---|--|---|---|
| Habitat area  | Hectares   | Area stable or increasing,<br>subject to natural<br>processes                                   | Blanket bog has not been mapped in detail for<br>Rosroe Bog SAC but from current available data the<br>total area of the qualifying habitat is estimated to be<br>approximately 138ha, covering 53% of the SAC<br>(NPWS internal files). Further details on this and the<br>following attributes can be found in the Rosroe Bog<br>SAC conservation objectives supporting document<br>for blanket bogs and associated habitats  |
| Habitat<br>distribution                                     | Occurrence   | No decline, subject to<br>natural processes   | The habitat is documented to occur throughout the SAC (Douglas and Grogan, 1987; NPWS internal files). Further information can be found within Douglas and Grogan (1987), NPWS internal files and the blanket bogs and associated habitats supporting document  |
| Ecosystem<br>function: soil<br>nutrients                    | Soil pH and appropriate<br>nutrient levels at a<br>representative number<br>of monitoring stops                | Maintain soil nutrient<br>status within natural range   | See the blanket bogs and associated habitats supporting document for further details  |
| Ecosystem<br>function: peat<br>formation                    | Active blanket bog as a<br>proportion of the total<br>area of Annex I blanket<br>bog habitat                   | At least 99% of the total<br>Annex I blanket bog area<br>is active                              | See the blanket bogs and associated habitats supporting document for further details  |
| Ecosystem<br>function:<br>hydrology                         | Flow direction, water<br>levels, occurrence of<br>drains and erosion<br>gullies                                | Natural hydrology<br>unaffected by drains and<br>erosion  | Further details and a brief discussion of restoration<br>potential is presented in the blanket bogs and<br>associated habitats supporting document  |
| Community<br>diversity                                      | Abundance of variety of<br>vegetation communities  | Maintain variety of<br>vegetation communities,<br>subject to natural<br>processes               | Douglas and Grogan (1987) recorded a variety of<br>blanket bog vegetation communities in this SAC, one<br>of which corresponds to a community recorded in<br>the National Survey of Upland Habitats and listed in<br>the provisional list of vegetation communities<br>described in Perrin et al. (2014). Further information<br>on vegetation communities associated with this<br>habitat is presented in Perrin et al. (2014) |
| Vegetation<br>composition:<br>positive indicator<br>species | Number of species at a representative number of 2m x 2m monitoring stops                                       | Number of positive<br>indicator species present at<br>each monitoring stop is at<br>least seven | Attribute and target based on Perrin et al. (2014),<br>where the list of positive indicator species for this<br>habitat is also presented   |
| Vegetation<br>composition:<br>lichens and<br>bryophytes     | Percentage cover at a representative number of 2m x 2m monitoring stops  | Cover of bryophytes or<br>lichens, excluding<br><i>Sphagnum fallax</i> , at least<br>10%        | Attribute and target based on Perrin et al. (2014)  |
| Vegetation<br>composition:<br>potential<br>dominant species | Percentage cover at a representative number of 2m x 2m monitoring stops  | Cover of each of the potential dominant species less than 75%                                   | Attribute and target based on Perrin et al. (2014).<br>See the blanket bogs and associated habitats<br>supporting document for the list of potential<br>dominant species  |
| Vegetation<br>composition:<br>negative indicator<br>species | Percentage cover at a representative number of 2m x 2m monitoring stops  | Total cover of negative<br>indicator species less than<br>1%                                    | Attribute and target based on Perrin et al. (2014),<br>where the list of negative indicator species for this<br>habitat is also presented   |
| Vegetation<br>composition: non-<br>native species           | Percentage cover at,<br>and in local vicinity of, a<br>representative number<br>of 2m x 2m monitoring<br>stops | Cover of non-native species less than 1%  | Attribute and target based on Perrin et al. (2014)  |
| Vegetation<br>composition:<br>native trees and<br>shrubs    | Percentage cover in<br>local vicinity of a<br>representative number<br>of monitoring stops                     | Cover of scattered native<br>trees and shrubs less than<br>10%                                  | Attribute and target based on Perrin et al. (2014)  |

15 May 2017

Version 1

Page 6 of 9

| Vegetation<br>structure:<br><i>Sphagnum</i><br>condition | Condition at a<br>representative number<br>of 2m x 2m monitoring<br>stops                                      | Less than 10% of the<br><i>Sphagnum</i> cover is<br>crushed, broken and/or<br>pulled up   | Attribute and target based on Perrin et al. (2014)  |
|--|--|---|---|
| Vegetation<br>structure: signs of<br>browsing            | Percentage of shoots<br>browsed at a<br>representative number<br>of 2m x 2m monitoring<br>stops                | Last complete growing<br>season's shoots of ericoids,<br>crowberry ( <i>Empetrum</i><br><i>nigrum</i> ) and bog-myrtle<br>( <i>Myrica gale</i> ) showing<br>signs of browsing<br>collectively less than 33% | Attribute and target based on Perrin et al. (2014)  |
| Vegetation<br>structure: burning                         | Occurrence in local<br>vicinity of a<br>representative number<br>of monitoring stops                           | No signs of burning in<br>sensitive areas, into the<br>moss, liverwort or lichen<br>layer or exposure of peat<br>surface due to burning   | Attribute and target based on Perrin et al. (2014),<br>where the list of sensitive areas for this habitat is<br>also presented                        |
| Physical structure:<br>disturbed bare<br>ground          | Percentage cover at,<br>and in local vicinity of, a<br>representative number<br>of 2m x 2m monitoring<br>stops | Cover of disturbed bare<br>ground less than 10%   | Attribute and target based on Perrin et al. (2014)  |
| Physical structure:<br>drainage                          | Percentage area in local vicinity of a representative number of monitoring stops                               | Area showing signs of<br>drainage from heavy<br>trampling, tracking or<br>ditches less than 10%   | Attribute and target based on Perrin et al. (2014)  |
| Physical structure:<br>erosion                           | Percentage area in local vicinity of a representative number of monitoring stops                               | Less than 5% of the<br>greater bog mosaic<br>comprises erosion gullies<br>and eroded areas  | Attribute and target based on Perrin et al. (2014)  |
| Indicators of local distinctiveness                      | Occurrence and population size   | No decline in distribution or<br>population sizes of rare,<br>threatened or scarce<br>species associated with the<br>habitat  | This includes species listed in the Flora (Protection)<br>Order, 2015 and/or the red data lists (Lockhart et<br>al., 2012; Wyse Jackson et al., 2016) |

#### Conservation Objectives for : Rosroe Bog SAC [000324]

7150

#### Depressions on peat substrates of the Rhynchosporion

# To restore the favourable conservation condition of Depressions on peat substrates of the Rhynchosporion in Rosroe Bog SAC, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

| Attribute   | Measure  | Target  | Notes  |
|---|--|---|--|
| Habitat area  | Hectares   | Area stable or increasing,<br>subject to natural<br>processes   | Depressions on peat substrates of the<br>Rhynchosporion has not been mapped in detail for<br>Rosroe Bog SAC and thus the total area of the<br>qualifying habitat is unknown. Further details on this<br>and the following attributes can be found in the<br>Rosroe Bog SAC conservation objectives supporting<br>document for blanket bogs and associated habitats |
| Habitat<br>distribution                                     | Occurrence   | No decline, subject to natural processes  | The habitat is documented to occur in areas that<br>support wet, quaking blanket bog (Douglas and<br>Grogan, 1987; NPWS internal files). Further<br>information can be found within Douglas and Grogar<br>(1987), NPWS internal files and the blanket bogs<br>and associated habitats supporting document  |
| Ecosystem<br>function: soil<br>nutrients                    | Soil pH and appropriate<br>nutrient levels at a<br>representative number<br>of monitoring stops                | Maintain soil nutrient<br>status within natural range   | See the blanket bogs and associated habitats supporting document for further details   |
| Vegetation<br>composition:<br>positive indicator<br>species | Number of species at a representative number of 2m x 2m monitoring stops                                       | Number of positive<br>indicator species at each<br>monitoring stop is at least<br>five  | Attribute and target based on Perrin et al. (2014),<br>where the list of positive indicator species for this<br>habitat is also presented  |
| Vegetation<br>composition:<br><i>Rhynchospora</i><br>spp.   | Percentage cover at a representative number of 2m x 2m monitoring stops  | Total cover of white<br>beaked sedge<br>( <i>Rhynchospora alba</i> ) and<br>brown beaked sedge ( <i>R.</i><br><i>fusca</i> ) at least 10%   | Attribute and target based on Perrin et al. (2014)   |
| Vegetation<br>composition:<br>potential<br>dominant species | Percentage cover at a representative number of 2m x 2m monitoring stops  | Cover of each of the potential dominant species individually less than 35%  | Attribute and target based on Perrin et al. (2014).<br>See the blanket bogs and associated habitats<br>supporting document for the list of potential<br>dominant species   |
| Vegetation<br>composition:<br>negative indicator<br>species | Percentage cover at a representative number of 2m x 2m monitoring stops  | Total cover of negative<br>indicator species less than<br>1%  | Attribute and target based on Perrin et al. (2014),<br>where the list of negative indicator species for this<br>habitat is also presented  |
| Vegetation<br>composition: non-<br>native species           | Percentage cover at,<br>and in local vicinity of, a<br>representative number<br>of 2m x 2m monitoring<br>stops | Cover of non-native<br>species less than 1%   | Attribute and target based on Perrin et al. (2014)   |
| Vegetation<br>composition:<br>native trees and<br>shrubs    | Percentage cover in<br>local vicinity of a<br>representative number<br>of monitoring stops                     | Cover of scattered native<br>trees and shrubs less than<br>10%  | Attribute and target based on Perrin et al. (2014)   |
| Vegetation<br>structure:<br><i>Sphagnum</i><br>condition    | Condition at a<br>representative number<br>of 2m x 2m monitoring<br>stops                                      | Less than 10% of the<br><i>Sphagnum</i> cover is<br>crushed, broken and/or<br>pulled up   | Attribute and target based on Perrin et al. (2014)   |
| Vegetation<br>structure: signs of<br>browsing               | Percentage of shoots<br>browsed at a<br>representative number<br>of 2m x 2m monitoring<br>stops                | Last complete growing<br>season's shoots of ericoids,<br>crowberry ( <i>Empetrum</i><br><i>nigrum</i> ) and bog-myrtle<br>( <i>Myrica gale</i> ) showing<br>signs of browsing<br>collectively less than 33% | Attribute and target based on Perrin et al. (2014)   |
| Vegetation<br>structure: burning                            | Occurrence in local<br>vicinity of a<br>representative number<br>of monitoring stops                           | No signs of burning in<br>sensitive areas, into the<br>moss, liverwort or lichen<br>layer or exposure of peat<br>surface due to burning   | Attribute and target based on Perrin et al. (2014),<br>where the list of sensitive areas for this habitat is<br>also presented   |

Page 8 of 9

| Physical structure:<br>disturbed bare<br>ground | Percentage cover at,<br>and in local vicinity of, a<br>representative number<br>of 2m x 2m monitoring<br>stops | Cover of disturbed bare ground less than 10%   | Attribute and target based on Perrin et al. (2014)  |
|---|--|--|---|
| Physical structure:<br>drainage                 | Percentage area in local<br>vicinity of a<br>representative number<br>of monitoring stops                      | Area showing signs of<br>drainage from heavy<br>trampling, tracking or<br>ditches less than 10%                              | Attribute and target based on Perrin et al. (2014)  |
| Physical structure:<br>erosion                  | Percentage area in local<br>vicinity of a<br>representative number<br>of monitoring stops                      | Less than 5% of the<br>greater bog mosaic<br>comprises erosion gullies<br>and eroded areas                                   | Attribute and target based on Perrin et al. (2014)  |
| Indicators of local distinctiveness             | Occurrence and population size   | No decline in distribution or<br>population sizes of rare,<br>threatened or scarce<br>species associated with the<br>habitat | This includes species listed in the Flora (Protection)<br>Order, 2015 and/or the red data lists (Lockhart et<br>al., 2012; Wyse Jackson et al., 2016) |

